

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/820,370	04/07/2004	Joshua D. Spodek	SG-1 DIV CON	7671
1473 75	590 11/29/2006		EXAMINER*	
FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP			DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE	
ROPES & GRAY LLP 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS FL C3		C3	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10020-1105	*	3611	
•			DATE MAILED: 11/29/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/820,370	SPODEK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cassandra Davis	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  is will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	e <u>ptember 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 and 23-35 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 16-18 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 19-22 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D					
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/820,370

Art Unit: 3611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 16, 18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Campbell, British Patent 106,866. Campbell teaches a display device comprising stationary images mounted on a backboard 1, a slit-board 3 spaced in front of and parallel to the backboard, and a moving viewer 6 spaced from the slit-board. As the viewer moves pass the device a virtual picture or image is produced. The image can appears stationary or in motion relatively the observer. Campbell teaches that the virtual picture/image *P* is equal to the distance of the observer from the background 1 and the quotient of the actual width of the picture and distance between the background 1 and screen. *P/p=D/d*. Page 3, line 8-12. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to make the slit width one tenth of the actual

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/820,370

Art Unit: 3611

image width, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F,2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)

3.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 16, 18 and 23 are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell, British Patent 106,866. Campbell teaches a display device comprising stationary images mounted on a backboard 1, a slit-board 3 spaced in front of and parallel to the backboard, and a moving viewer 6 spaced from the slit-board. As the viewer moves pass the device a virtual picture or image is produced. The image can appears stationary or in motion relatively the observer. Campbell teaches that the virtual picture/image *P* is equal to the distance of the observer from the background 1 and the quotient of the actual width of the picture and distance between the background 1 and screen. *P/p=D/d*. Page 3, line 8-

Application/Control Number: 10/820,370 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

12. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to make the slit width one tenth of the actual image width, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F,2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell in view of Ladislas, French Patent 1029300. Ladislas teaches a display device comprising stationary images f mounted on a wall, a slit-board g spaced in front of and parallel to the backboard, and a moving viewer 6 in a train spaced from the slit-board. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the display device taught by Campbell along a train track as taught by Ladislas to enhance the view of the passengers on the train.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 19-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 1-15, 24-25 allowed.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/820,370

Art Unit: 3611

## Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed January 12, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the invention as claimed requires that the width of the slits in the slit board be selected to be at most one-tenth of the width of the images in order to project images substantially without blurring. The applicant points out that British Patent to Campbell specifies that the slots may have a width of 2 inches and the image a width of 15 inches, corresponding to a slot width to image width ratio of approximately 0.133. The 0.133 ratio is greater that the claimed 0.1 ratio.
- 10. The applicant has amended the claims to delete the term "about" so that it reads "said slit width is selected to be at <u>most one-tenth</u> of said actual image width. The examiner contends it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to make the slit width one tenth of the actual image width, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F,2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)
- 11. The rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,370

Art Unit: 3611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-6642. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/820,370

Art Unit: 3611

Cassandra Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

CD November 27, 2006